Hashing and Sketching CS 4390/5390 Fall 2019

Comparison can be slow

We know calculating local alignments is $O(n^2)$ • in the case of read overlapping if there are say 10⁶ reads • if reads are 10² bases each, thats 10¹⁰ computations!

Even hamming distance (O(n)) may be too slow.

Remember, finding overlaps is just step 1 of assembly!

Measures the similarity of two sets of items A and B as: $J(A,B) = \frac{\left|A \cap B\right|}{\left|A \cup B\right|} = \frac{\left|A \cap B\right|}{\left|A\right| + \left|B\right| - \left|A \cap B\right|}$

Jaccard Similarity



Measures the similarity of two sets of items A and B as:

$$J(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$

Used also used in computer vision, sometimes called the "Intersection over Union" (IoU) metric





Jaccard Similarity





Measures the similarity of two sets of items A and B as:

$$J(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$

Used also used in computer vision, sometimes called the "Intersection over Union" (IoU) metric





Jaccard Similarity

J(A, .)

How would we use **Jaccard for sequences?**



 $A \cap B$

AUB

Α

Α

being compared





Jaccard Similarity

In sequence analysis we construct a sets of k-mers for each of the strings

Calculating the union and intersection of a set of anything (in particular k-mers) can be time consuming (O(n) time)

Can we calculate it faster?

Calculating the union and intersection of a set of anything (in particular k-mers) can be time consuming (O(n) time)

Can we calculate it faster?

Consider the following scenario:

- given a hash function on *k*-mers $h: \Sigma^k \rightarrow Z^+$
- and the sets of *k*-mers for two string *A* and *B*,
- •What is the probability that $\min_{c \in A} \{h(c)\} = \min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}$?

 $\sum^{k} \rightarrow Z^{+}$ g A and B, $h(c) \} = min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}?$

Calculating the union and intersection of a set of anything (in particular k-mers) can be time consuming (O(n) time)

Can we calculate it faster?

Consider the following scenario:

- given a hash function on *k*-mers $h: \Sigma^k \rightarrow Z^+$
- and the sets of *k*-mers for two string *A* and *B*,
- •What is the probability that $\min_{c \in A} \{h(c)\} = \min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}$?

Turns out that

$$Pr_h\left[\min_{c\in A}\left\{h(c)\right\} = \min_{c\in B}\left\{h(c)\right\}\right] = J(A,B)$$

 $\sum^{k} \rightarrow Z^{+}$ g A and B, $h(c) \} = min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}$?

Why is $Pr_h[min_{c\in A} \{h(c)\} = min_{c\in B} \{h(c)\}] = J(A, B)$?



Why is $Pr_h[min_{c \in A} \{h(c)\} = min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}] = J(A, B)$?

Think of *h* as applying a randomized ordering on the *k*-mers.



Why is $Pr_h[min_{c\in A} \{h(c)\} = min_{c\in B} \{h(c)\}] = J(A, B)$?

Think of *h* as applying a randomized ordering on the *k*-mers.

If the minimum *k*-mer from the union is in the intersection, it will be minimum for both *A* and *B*.



Why is $Pr_h[min_{c \in A} \{h(c)\} = min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}] = J(A, B)$?

Think of *h* as applying a randomized ordering on the *k*-mers.

If the minimum *k*-mer from the union is in the intersection, it will be minimum for both *A* and *B*.

How many minimum *k*-mers from the union can we choose?



Why is $Pr_h[min_{c \in A} \{h(c)\} = min_{c \in B} \{h(c)\}] = J(A, B)$?

Think of *h* as applying a randomized ordering on the *k*-mers.

If the minimum *k*-mer from the union is in the intersection, it will be minimum for both *A* and *B*.

How many minimum *k*-mers from the union can we choose?

What fraction of those are in the intersection?



As you increase the number of hashes, you will get closer to the estimate of the real jaccard value

Finding that many independent hashes may be hard



Min Hash Sketch with 1 Hash

The idea is that you choose the minimum *n* elements according to the hash h, and compute jaccard on these subsets

This subset of k-mers is called a "sketch"

Sometimes called "MinHash bottom sketching"



Image credit: Ondov, et al. (2016) Mash: Fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. Genome Biology.



k-mer in overlapping windows

- Another way to sketch a sequence is though the use of minimizer schemes
- Here a set of k-mers for a sequence are selected by finding the minimum

Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation



Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation





Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation



11

Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation





Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation





Roberts, et al. (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation

O(n²) alignments!





14

Roberts, *et al.* (2004) introduced minimizer schemes as a way to decrease the time needed for sequence overlap computation

Only compare within bins





- Minimizer schemes have two special properties:

 - there are no large gap between selected k-mers

• two sequences with a long exact match must select the same k-mers



- Minimizer schemes have two special properties:

 - there are no large gap between selected k-mers
- Use in k-mer counting, de Brujin graph construction, data structure sparsification, etc.

• two sequences with a long exact match must select the same k-mers



For a windows of *w* consecutive *k*-mers from a sequence *S*, a minimizer scheme selects the minimum according to an ordering o as a representative





For a windows of *w* consecutive *k*-mers from a sequence *S*, a minimizer scheme selects the minimum according to an ordering o as a representative





For a windows of *w* consecutive *k*-mers from a sequence *S*, a minimizer scheme selects the minimum according to an ordering o as a representative





- Changing the ordering used can greatly impact the number of unique minimizers
- Can we find an order that minimizes the number of minimizer locations





- Changing the ordering used can greatly impact the number of unique minimizers
- Can we find an order that minimizes the number of minimizer locations

Only some *k*-mers are used a minimizers







Universal k-mer Set

consecutive k-mers must contain at least one element from the set

A universal k-mer set $U_{k,w} \subseteq \Sigma^k$ is a set of k-mers such that any window of w



- A universal k-mer set induces a family of compatible orderings
- Orderings based on universal sets have better performance then lexicographic or random orders (Marçais, et al., 2017)







- A universal k-mer set induces a family of compatible orderings
- Orderings based on universal sets have better *performance* then lexicographic or random orders (Marçais, et al., 2017)







- Set Size
 - Fraction of all k-mers in the universal set
- Density
 - Normalized count of minimizer locations in S









- Set Size
 - Fraction of all k-mers in the universal set
- Density
 - Normalized count of minimizer locations in S
- Sparsity
 - Normalized count of windows in S with only one umer (universal k-mer)













- Set Size
 - Fraction of all k-mers in the universal set
- **Expected** Density
 - Normalized count of minimizer locations in B_L
- **Expected** Sparsity
 - Normalized count of windows in B_L with only one umer (universal k-mer)

B_L is the **de Brujin** sequence of order L, it contains each window exactly once















- A universal k-mer set induces a family of compatible orderings
- Orderings based on universal sets have better performance then lexicographic or random orders (Marçais, et al., 2017)







- A universal k-mer set induces a family of compatible orderings
- Orderings based on universal sets have better performance then lexicographic or random orders (Marçais, et al., 2017)
- Current methods cannot construct sets for values of k and w used in practice









- A universal k-mer set induces a family of compatible orderings
- Orderings based on universal sets have better performance then lexicographic or random orders (Marçais, et al., 2017)
- Current methods cannot construct sets for values of k and w used in practice

Can we construct universal k-mer sets that are practical for use in minimizer schemes?







Problems with Jaccard







